

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/842,474	04/25/2001	Leonid Polonsky	00-625-A	7912
7	590 05/19/2005		EXAM	INER
George I. Lee			NGUYEN, TRONG NHAN P	
McDonnell Bo	ehnen Hulbert & Berghoff			
32nd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
300 S. Wacker Drive			2152	
Chicago, IL 6	50606			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of thins may be available under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.785(a). In no avent, however, may a reply be limited with the correspondence address If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory profice will apply and will apply and vill apply in SU(6) MONTHS bent the mailing date of this communication of the communication of		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
## Examiner Art Unit 2162 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address = Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. I be provided into may be available under the provisions of 37 CRT 1/38(b), in re event, however, may a righty be limited to the provision of 37 CRT 1/38(b), in re event, however, may a righty be limited to the provision of 37 CRT 1/38(b), in real event, however, may a righty be limited to the provision of 37 CRT 1/38(b), in real event, however, may a righty be limited to the provision of 37 CRT 1/38(b), in real event, however, may a righty be limited to the limited to the provision of 37 CRT 1/38(b), in real event, however, may a righty be limited to the second-decision. I the period for righty septidid above, the maximum statutory principle of 30 (6) MCRTHS from the realiting date of this communication. I the period for righty septidid above, the maximum statutory principle of 30 (6) MCRTHS from the realiting date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office this from the termination of the period of this communication, even if limiting the status of the communication. Any reply received by the Office this the fine the maximum statutory principle of 30 (6) MCRTHS from the realiting date of this communication, even if limiting the status of this communication. Any reply received by the Office this fine the maximum statutory principle of this communication. I the period for right is septiment. Provided the maximum status of the maximum status principle of the second status of the communication. Application for Claims. A Claim (s) 1/48 is/are pending in the application. Application of Claims 1/48 is/are rejected. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The drawing(s) filed on								
Jack P. Nguyen 2152	Office Action Summary							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatherized from my be evaluate used the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Eatherized from my be evaluate used the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply specified above is less than theiry (30) days, a reply within the statisticy minimum of thiny (30) days, will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than theiry (30) days, a reply within the communication of the thin shall great of the communication. Fabretized for reply specified above is less than theiry (30) days, a reply within the shalled principle of the communication. Fabretized for reply specified above is less than their great days and the state of the communication. Fabretized from the state of the communication of the communication of the communication. Fabretized from the state of the communication. Fabret								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edemisms of time may be available under the provision of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled If the period for reply sepecified above is less than birty (30) days, a reply within the satulatory minimum of timity (30) days, and will express (50) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication or reply in specified above, the maximum statutory period willage) and will express (50) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication reply subten the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, period will apply and will express (50) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. Fabrus to reply vible in the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, period will apply and will express (50) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. Fabrus to reply vible in the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, period will apply and will express (50) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. Fabrus to reply vible in set or extended preside for reply will, by statutor, period will apply and will express (50) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. Fabrus to reply septiment to reply vible in set or extended president in the set of the communication. The properties of the mail of the communication of the communication. The set of this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice of the provision of the control of the contro	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.3(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely flied after SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure or reply supplied to the mailing date of this communication. If No practice or major is a produced or the main and an acceptance of the communication of the produced of the communication of the produced or major years of the main and an acceptance of the produced or produced on the main and acceptance of the produced or produce	Period for Reply							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/28/04. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Parlsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB00) 5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date: Provided To Paper No(s)/M	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s)	Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/28/04.							
3								
Algorithms Algor	3) Since this application is in condition for allowan							
4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) coepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to . 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Patersperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Notice of Patersperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims		,					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to . 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Patersperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Notice of Patersperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application.							
Solid Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08)								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some Older None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· <u> </u>							
Application Papers 9								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Usaim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	,— ,							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 9 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	u.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
5) E ***********************************	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 12/28/04. Claims 21-26 have been added. Claims 1-26 are being examined.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 12/28/04 have been fully considered but are moot based on new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6, 8-10, 12-16, 18-40, 42, 44-46, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jamtgaard et al, 6,430,624, (Jamtgaard hereafter).

As per claims 1 and 29, Jamtgaard discloses a system for accessing information content, the system comprising: a server browser for accessing the information content (col. 7, lines 17-20; content connection handler (40, fig. 4) 'CCH' uses its browser to

Art Unit: 2152

interface with the content provider (13, fig. 4) in order to retrieve client requested content data; CCH is a component of the content translation server (12, fig. 2)); a client browser for navigating the accessed information content (col. 1, lines 57-59; col. 6, lines 54-57; client, via its browser, sends a request for content to content provider); and a serializer for dynamically formatting the accessed information content according to an appropriate markup language for the client browser and according to the capabilities of the client browser (col. 4, lines 58-66; col. 7, lines 35-44; appliance connection handler (44, fig. 4) 'ACH', via its XML and layout engines (46, 42, fig. 4) receives and translates content data into appropriate markup language formats (e.g., XML) according to client's browsing capabilities; ACH is a component of the translation server; the translation server is functionally equivalent to the serializer); wherein the client browser can navigate the desired portions of the stored information content (browser is inherently used to access and navigate the web and content servers (e.g., web sites)).

As per claim 36, Jamtgaard discloses a system for accessing information content, the system comprising: a client browser for requesting information content (col. 1, lines 57-59; col. 6, lines 54-57; client, via its browser, sends a request for content to content provider; examples of browsers include Microsoft Internet Explorer, Netscape, etc – see fig. 1); an event translator for receiving the request (col. 7, lines 31-35; event translator is a component of the appliance connection handler 'ACH'; it controls and brokers (translating events) request events between the translation server and requesting client); and a server browser for accessing the requested information content (col. 7, lines 17-20; content connection handler (40, fig. 4) 'CCH' uses its browser to

Art Unit: 2152

interface with the content provider (13, fig. 4) in order to retrieve client requested content data; CCH is a component of the content translation server (12, fig. 2)); wherein the event translator translates the request into an event recognizable by the server browser, and wherein the server browser utilizes the recognized event to access the requested information content (col. 7, lines 17-20, 31-35; event translator is a component of the appliance connection handler 'ACH'; it controls and brokers (thus translating events) request events between the translation server and requesting client; the CCH, via its browser, interfaces with the content server to access and retrieve content data to be translated into formats compatible with the requesting device).

As per claim 45, Jamtgaard discloses a method for accessing dynamic information content over a network, the method comprising: accessing the dynamic information content by a server browser (col. 7, lines 17-20; content connection handler (40, fig. 4) 'CCH' uses its browser to interface dynamically with the content provider (13, fig. 4) in order to retrieve client requested content data; CCH is a component of the content translation server (12, fig. 2)); formatting on the fly desired portions of the accessed dynamic information content according to an appropriate markup language for use by client browser and according to capabilities of the client browser (col. 4, lines 58-66; col. 7, lines 35-44; appliance connection handler (44, fig. 4) 'ACH', via its XML and layout engines (46, 42, fig. 4) receives and translates content data dynamically (or 'on the fly') into appropriate markup language formats (e.g., XML) according to client's browsing capabilities; ACH is a component of the translation server; the translation server is functionally equivalent to the serializer); transmitting the formatted dynamic

Art Unit: 2152

information content to the client browser (col. 7, lines 35-44; after the translating server dynamically translate the content data, the server sends the translated data to the client device); receiving the formatted dynamic information content at the client browser (col. 7, lines 40-44; client device, via its browser, receives the translated data from the translating server); and navigating the formatted dynamic information content (col. 1, lines 57-59; col. 6, lines 54-57; the client browser can navigate the content server for additional information).

As per claim 2, Jamtgaard discloses serializer dynamically customizes the format of the information content as appropriate for the specific client browser and applications that run on the client browser (col. 4, lines 58-66).

As per claim 3, Jamtgaard discloses the serializer can dynamically formats the accessed information content for a second client browser that utilizes a markup language different from the client browser (col. 4, lines 44-49, 58-66; the translation server can process data from a plurality of information servers for a plurality of client browsers.)

As per claim 4, Jamtgaard discloses the serializer dynamically formats a portion of the accessed information content, and wherein the portion of accessed information content is requested by the client server browser (col. 4, lines 58-66).

As per claims 5 and 6, Jamtgaard discloses a network between the serializer and the client browser (col. 4, lines 62; *client browser and translation server are connected over the network (14, fig. 2);* wherein the serializer partitions the information content into groups of information content appropriate for transmission over the network and

Art Unit: 2152

receiving at the client browser (col. 4, lines 58-66; col. 7, lines 1-5; translation server breaks the translated data into packets that are sent to the client device).

As per claim 8, Jamtgaard discloses an electronic device that hosts the client browser (col. 5, lines 4-6; mobile phone has browser software); wherein the client browser navigates the information content according to specific abilities of the electronic device comprising navigational tools (browser is inherently used to access and navigate the web).

As per claim 9, Jamtgaard discloses information content is dynamically generated (col. 6, lines 10-12; translated content is dynamically generated).

As per claim 10, Jamtgaard discloses the server and client browsers temporarily stores the accessed information (col. 5, lines 38-40; translating server and client store accessed information in the form of cookies);

As per claims 12, 13, and 15, Jamtgaard discloses the server browser and client browser are hosted on separate platforms; client browser is hosted on an electronic device and server browser is hosted on a server; client browser and server browser are hosted on an electronic device (col. 1, lines 57-59; col. 9, line 65 – col. 10, line 1; both client and server devices contain browsers to allow it to access data from content providers on the web; both client and server devices are electronic devices).

As per claim 14, although Jamtgaard discloses the server and client browsers are hosted on the same platform (col. 5, lines 34-45; col. 7, lines 17-22; the content connection handler 'CCH' serves in client mode when it accesses data from a content

Art Unit: 2152

server; on the other hand, it serves in the server mode when it accesses data from a content server on behalf of another device or application).

As per claim 16, Jamtgaard discloses the client browser is hosted on an electronic device, and wherein the electronic device comprises a personal digital assistant (PDA), mobile telephone, and a home appliance (5, fig. 1; col. 1, lines 57-59).

As per claims 18-19, Jamtgaard discloses the client browser can process output an audio signal corresponding to the accessed information (col. 7, lines 5-12; client browser can process data in a plurality of different formats (e.g., text, voice or audio, etc.) for execution and display.)

As per claims 20-22, Jamtgaard discloses the client browser utilizes a markup language comprising wireless markup language (WML), extensible markup language (XML), and voiceXML (col. 4, lines 10-17; see also element 2, fig. 1 for different data formats can be used).

As per claim 23, Jamtgaard discloses the server browser and the client browser distribute a set of tasks to access the information content, and wherein the server browser performs more tasks than the client browser (col. 4, lines 58-66; server browser can perform tasks of retrieving data from data provider and passing the data on to be translated into compatible formats of the client device).

As per claim 24, Jamtgaard discloses the server browser supports scripting code comprising Java Script and Jscript (col. 5, line 42).

As per claim 25, Jamtgaard discloses client browser comprises a microgateway, and wherein other browsers can utilize the microgateway to access the information

Art Unit: 2152

content (the microgateway is an inherenet component of the client browser that allows the browser to navigate and access data over the web).

As per claim 26, Jamtgaard discloses the server browser can send information content to the client browser (col. 5, lines 35-41; col. 9, line 64 – col. 10, line 1; after retrieving content data from content provider, server browser sends the retrieved data to translating modules to translate the data to be delivered to the client device).

Claims 27-28 are rejected by similar rationale as claim 36.

As per claim 30, Jamtgaard discloses the server browser pushes the stored information content to the client browser (col. 5, lines 35-41; col. 9, line 64 – col. 10, line 1).

As per claim 31, Jamtgaard discloses the client browser presents the desirable portions of the stored content (this is an inherent feature of browser software).

As per claim 32, Jamtgaard discloses the client browser and server browser communicate using events (events are transactions that allow data to be communicated between the client and server devices).

As per claims 33-34, Jamtgaard discloses the client browser comprises a commercially available browser (see element 4, fig. 1; commercial available browsers include Microsoft IE, Netscape, etc).

As per claim 35, Jamtgaard discloses the client browser and the server browser work together to access the information content by separating processing effort (client browser initiates request for information from data provider; server browser retrieves the

Art Unit: 2152

requested data and translate the data into formats compatible with the requesting client).

As per claim 37, Jamtgaard discloses the event translator receives the accessed information content from the server browser and forwards at least a portion of the accessed information content to the client browser (col. 7, lines 31-35; see claim 36 rejection for more details).

As per claim 38, Jamtgaard discloses the event translator receives the accessed information content from the server browser and changes the accessed information content before sending the information content to the client browser (col. 7, lines 31-35; see claim 36 rejection for more details).

As per claim 39, Jamtgaard discloses the event translator manages events transmitted between the server browser and a second client browser (col. 7, lines 31-35; see claim 36 rejection for more details).

As per claims 40 and 44, Jamtgaard discloses the event translator dynamically assigns unique device identifier to identify an information source of the requested information content and manages a session and transaction between the client browser and the server browser (col. 9, lines 60-63; appliance connection handler 'ACH', in conjunction with content connection handler 'CCH', keeps track of all transactions between the client requests and content providers; the system also stores information about the web sites in the form of cookies).

As per claim 42, Jamtgaard discloses the event translator operates internal to the server browser (event translator is a component of the translating server).

Art Unit: 2152

As per claim 46, Jamtgaard discloses presenting the dynamic information content at the client browser (client browser can be used to view dynamic information content).

As per claim 48, Jamtgaard discloses determining the markup language utilized by the client browser, wherein the step of formatting on the fly desired portions of the accessed dynamic information content is performed in accordance to the determined markup language (col. 4, lines 58-66).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jamtgaard in view of Chen, 6,836,792 (Chen hereafter).

As per claim 7, Jamtgaard discloses the client browser interacts with a plurality of applications (see element 290, fig. 16; each of the URL link could direct the browser to a different application on the server; for example, when the client clicks on 'The Arts', the browser may direct the user to a server or section that stores information regarding museums, art shows, etc). Jamtgaard does not explicitly disclose the applications such as email, instant messaging, etc. In a related art to the claimed invention, Chen discloses a client browser accessing an email application to initiate and send emails. Chen further discloses the email system, via its HTML conversion module (158, fig. 1),

Art Unit: 2152

translates the retrieved format into formats compatible with the client software before sending the email to the client device (col. 2, lines 30-35). Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow the user to access and browse any application (e.g., email, instant messaging, etc.) as desired using a plurality of different formats because this would enhance the user's experience of using different applications over the Internet.

Claims 17, 41 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jamtgaard in view of Kanevsky, 6,300,947 (Kanevsky hereafter).

As per claim 17, Jamtgaard does not explicitly discloses the client browser presents folderized portions of content data. In a related art to the claimed invention, Kanevsky discloses the client browser (101, fig. 1) accesses a web site (106, fig. 1); the retrieved content gets translated by the web page adapter server 'WPAS' (107, fig. 1) into formats that are compatible with the client device and sent back to the client device for display (col. 7, lines 10-13, 25-29, 42-44). Kanevsky further shows folderized portions of the accessed information (col. 9, lines 35-39). Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify and combine the teachings of Jamtgaard and Kanevsky to allow the data to be re-mapped into folders to accommodate the browsing capabilities of the client device.

As per claims 41 and 43, Jamtgaard discloses the event translator comprises a distributed events manager for dynamically handling and distributing events between the server browser and the client browser (col. 7, lines 31-35; ACH processes and

Application/Control Number: 09/842,474 Page 12

Art Unit: 2152

handles all events between the client and server devices). Jamtgaard does not explicitly disclose the event translator operates internal to the client browser. However, in a related art to the claimed invention, Kanevsky shows a client web page adaptor 'WPA' module (112, fig. 1) that functions similarly to the WPAS that can further translate retrieved content into formats compatible to the client device (col. 7, lines 44-52; col. 16, lines 40-46). Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include this function within the client browser to allow the client browser control over all the transactions between itself and the servers and allow the client browser to perform additional translation functions as desired by the user.

Claims 11 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jamtgaard in view of McCollom et al, 6,343,274 (McCollom hereafter)

As per claims 11 and 47, Jamtgaard does not explicitly discloses the client browser temporarily stores a requested portion of the accessed information content. It is well known and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to store recent accessed content data in the temporary Internet files folder of the client browser so the user can quickly retrieve the accessed pages without re-sending the client request (see McCollom disclosure – col. 9, lines 51-59).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/842,474 Page 13

Art Unit: 2152

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack P. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (571) 272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

GLENTON B. BUHGESS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Art Unit: 2152

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 14

jpn